APPLICANT: Jim Marconi PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Richmond Township, McHenry Co.
FILE NUMBER: 200500381 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☑ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: RICHMOND. ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The onsite wetland is tributary to Tryon Creek, which drains to Nippersink Creek. Nippersink Creek flows to the Fox River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated January 13, 2004, prepared by Natural Areas Ecosystem Management. ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by: Date:
Recommended by: Date: 7/1/05 Approved by: Date: 7/5/35
¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Grayslake Community Park District	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Grays Lake/Fox River
FILE NUMBER: 200500537	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ☐ Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on to the total the t	
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
interstate or foreign commerce, including all wat of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including The presence of a tributary to an interstate of The presence of wetlands adjacent ² (borde except for those wetlands adjacent to other The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intra sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie pothole Other: Section 10 waterway.	used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport ters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters a interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) ring, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US,
Information Reviewed	
Advanced Identification Wetland Map Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked a	tlas: n Service Soil Survey for Lake County. ppographic Maps: GRAYSLAKE, 1993. istoric Quadrangles: storic Quadrangles: 939, 2000, & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2004
the jurisdiction of the Department of the Army.	•
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 32 Ordinary High Water Mark indication in the control of the control of the character of soil in	ated by:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration is likely to ha Area under consideration is not likely h	ted in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) we been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction	on on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delin This office does not confirm your wetland	houndary
Recommended by:	Date: 7/7/05
Approved by:	
	nd criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,
	and hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JU	URISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District
APPLICANT: Foss Park District	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: North Branch Chicago River
FILE NUMBER: 200500285	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available informat There are no waters on the temperature of the temperature.	tion (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable ion:
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination	n:
☐ The presence of waters which interstate or foreign commerce, in of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1))☐ The presence of interstate which interstate which is the presence of a tributary the presence of wetlands and except for those wetlands and in the presence of an isolated which is the presence of an isolat	vaters of the United States present on the project site. the are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the use (including interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) o an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) jacent ² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, jacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
Information Reviewed	
 U. S. Geological Survey USDA Natural Resource U. S. Geological Survey U. S. Geological Survey U. S. Geological Survey Aerials (Name & Date) 	Service National Wetland Inventory: y Hydrologic Atlas: WAUKEGAN, HA 234. es Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. y 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: WAUKEGAN, 1993. y 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: y 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Elake County 1939, 2000, & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2004 in Wetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
North Branch of the Chicago River. T	oxes checked above): Delineated wetland has a surface and sub-surface connection to the the Chicago River is a navigable water of the United States and therefore all of its etlands, are also waters of the United States under the jurisdiction of the Department of the
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 C Ordinary High Wa ☐ clear, natural line in ☐ the presence of litter ☐ changes in the chara ☑ wetland boundary	ter Mark indicated by: hpressed on the bank
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration Area under consideration	ce of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) n is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria n is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
	rove jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundarie This office concurs with you lnc.	es r wetland delineation report dated September 16, 2004, prepared by Hey and Associates,
This office does not confirm	your wetland boundary
Recommended by:	Date: 7/7/05
Approved by:	Date:
¹ Wetlands are identified and delineated usin	ng the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Petite Lake Highwoods Association	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Petite Lake/Fox River
FILE NUMBER: 200500487	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office □ Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the Material There are waters of the United States on There are both waters of the United States.	
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
interstate or foreign commerce, including all water of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including The presence of a tributary to an interstate water of the presence of wetlands adjacent? (border except for those wetlands adjacent to other water of the presence of an isolated water (e.g., intra	used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport ers which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) rater or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) ing, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US,
Information Reviewed	
 □ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service Nationa □ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic At □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute To □ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute His □ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute His □ Aerials (Name & Date): Lake COunty In □ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps □ Site Visit Conducted on: □ Other information: 	las: Service Soil Survey for Lake County. pographic Maps: ANTIOCH, 1993. storic Quadrangles: toric Quadrangles: 939, 2000, & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002, & 2004
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked a navigable water of the United States under the jurisdic	bove): Petite Lake is part of the Fox Chain of Lakes system and therefore a tion of the Department of the Army.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329 Ordinary High Water Mark indical ☐ clear, natural line impressed on the liming the presence of litter and debrise changes in the character of soil wetland boundary	ted by:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration is likely to have Area under consideration is not likely have	red in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) re been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria two been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
	on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland deline This office does not confirm your wetland b	aundam.
Recommended by:	Date: 7/1/25
Approved by:	Date:
	d criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,
occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetlar from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation M	nd hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary anual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

Date:		Approved by:
Date:	(.	Recommended by:
, prepared by	concurs with your wetland delineation report dated does not confirm your wetland boundary.	
3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]	s declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328	Headquarter
	onfirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(nder consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional nder consideration is not likely to have been jurisdicti	u sənA 🔲
	Jurisdiction:	Basis for Declining.
n Canal of the Chicago River. destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:	(applies to any boxes checked above): place within a section 10 waterway, the Morth Branch irridiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): ligh Water Mark indicated by: natural line impressed on the bank esence of litter and debris esence of litter and debris nd boundary	The project will take Lateral Extent of Ju Ordinary H Clear, the pr
FICAGO LOOP, 1993, for Cook County.	ved Seological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: Cological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: Matural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey Cological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Cological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Seological Survey 1.5 Minute Historic Survey 1.5 M	D. S. O D. S. O
the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters . (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) f the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) cighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, (28.3 (a)(7)) reams (including intermittent streams), mudflats,	CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ce of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands ¹) ce of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of ce of wetlands adjacent? (bordering, contiguous, or n chose wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 33 ce of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, st vetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, play	nesenq off
	nal Determination:	Basis of Jurisdiction
nalers on the project site.	 .2.) vailable information: ve no waters on the project site. ve non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. ve waters of the United States on the project site. ve both waters of the United States and non-jurisdiction 	a syshT There a D There a D Shere a
$33~\mathrm{CFR}~320\text{-}330).$ An approved JD is an appealable		
TED: 🖂 Office 🔲 Field		EITE NOMBEB: 50
WAY: 1032 W. Weed Street Chicago, Cook County,	ago Park District PROJECT LOCATION/WATER	
	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINAT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, C	

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary

from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Aurora Municipal Airport PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: North of Route 30 and West of Route 47 in Aurora, Kane County, Illinois (SW 1/4 of Section 17, T38N R7E) FILE NUMBER: 200500395 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: ☐ Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SUGAR GROVE. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: SUGAR GROVE, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Air Photo USA July 2001 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: FEMA FIRM Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland 10 (designated as such by ENCAP) has a surface water tributary system connection to Welch Creek, which is a tributary of the Fox River. Therefore, Wetland 10 is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Midwest Custom Homes PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 12-acre property located south of Army Trail Road and east of Route 25 in Wayne, Kane County, Illinois (NW 1/4 of Section 14, T40N R8E) FILE NUMBER: 200500154 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☒ Office ☒ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: GENEVA. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: GENEVA, HA 142. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: GENEVA, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): USGA, April 10, 2002 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: February 17, 2005 & June 16, 2005 by Jaimee Hammit of the USACE, Chicago District Other information: FEMA FIRM Map Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The unnamed tributary on the subject property flows into Norton Creek, which is a tributary of the Fox River. Therefore, the tributary on the subject property is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by: _

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Mid-Northern Equities PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Crystal Creek, McHenry Co.
FILE NUMBER: 200500685 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CRYSTAL LAKE. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: CRYSTAL LAKE, HA 253. ☑ USDA National Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for McHenry County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: CRYSTAL LAKE, 1992. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☑ Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): 2004 ☒ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: MCHENRY COUNTY ☒ Site Visit Conducted on: June 1, 2005 ☐ Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Crystal Creek and associated wetlands are tributary to the Fox River a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria ☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Treatiquations declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of rig rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by:
Recommended by: Date: 7/7/c5 Approved by: 5 Date: 7/1/c5
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Sue Fontana PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: McHenry Co.
FILE NUMBER: 200500545 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transpor interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US. except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: HARVARD. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The onsite wetland and drainageway is tributary to the Fox Rive navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a) ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteric ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteric ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteric ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteric ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteric ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteric ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteric ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteric ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteric ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteric ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteric ☐ Area under consideration for the likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteric ☐ Area under consideration for the likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteric ☐ Area under consideration for the likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule Criteric ☐ Area under consideration for the likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule Criteric ☐ Area under consideration for the likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule Criteric ☐ Area under consideration for the likely
Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: Date:
7/14
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e. occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vegetation to the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).
* Watlands connected from other victors of the U.V. by men made dilyon or homison, natural river homes, heart Junes, and the Ules are also

adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Northeast of the Intersection of Steger Road **APPLICANT:** Michael Lemmons and 88th Avenue in Frankfort, Will County, Illinois PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field FILE NUMBER: 200500526 Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: FRANKFORT. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: FRANKFORT, 231. ☐ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: FRANKFORT, 1990. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Frankfort, 1993 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: June 28, 2005 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The tributary located on the subject property drains into Hickory Creek. Hickory Creek flows into the Des Plaines River a "navigable waters of the U.S.". Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated February 7, 2005, prepared by JFNew. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: _

Approved by:

¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. Basis of Jurisdictional Determination: There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the U.S. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5))
 The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MANHATTAN. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MANHATTAN, 211. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Manhattan, 1993 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Jackson Creek flows into the Des Plaines River a navigable "waters of the U.S.".
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Approved by: Date: 13 ful 5 Date:
Approved by: Date: / Traces
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987). Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Saint Charles Park District PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Pottawatomie Golf Course, Saint Charles, Kane County, Illinois (E 1/2 of Section 27, T40N R8E) FILE NUMBER: 200500787 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED:
☐ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: ☐ There are no waters on the project site. ☐ There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: GENEVA. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: GENEVA, HA 142. □ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: GENEVA, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): AirPhotoUSA Sept. 2004 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: KANE COUNTY Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: FEMA FIRM, the Wetland Assessment Report, dated June 10, 2005, prepared by CBBEWL Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The Fox River is a waters of the United States and is under the jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: \times clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils other: wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by:

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICATION OF THE PROPERTY OF
APPLICANT: Casey Clark PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Woodstock, McHenry Co.
FILE NUMBER: 200500740 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☑ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD)(For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2). Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transpor interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: WOODSTOCK. ☐ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland on the subject property drains to the Kishwaukee River. The Kishwaukee River drains to the Rock River, a navigable waterway under Department of the Army jurisdiction.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(6). Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria. Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria. Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated This office does not confirm your wetland boundary This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by: Date:
Date: Date:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.
occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may va from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Black Rock Estates PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Located South of Meath Road and West of Parker in Homer Township, Will County, Illinois PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: Office Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
 □ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. □ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) □ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) □ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) □ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) □ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). □ Other: □ Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed
 ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: SAG BRIDGE. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: SAG BRIDGE, 149. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: SAG BRIDGE, 1993. ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☐ U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: ☐ Aerials (Name & Date):Homer, 1997 ☐ Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ☑ Site Visit Conducted on: July 5, 2005 ☐ Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetlands located on the subject property are hydrologically connected to Long Run. Long Run flows into the Des Plaines River, a "navigable waters of the U.S.".
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated October 1, 2004, prepared by JFNew. ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by: Date: 21 ful 05
Recommended by:
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary

occurrence of nyurophytic vegetation, nyuric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPLICANT: Huguelet Company Josephine Drive and Townline Road in Mokena, Will County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: 200500714 PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Located Southwest of the Intersection of Mokena, Will County, Illinois PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☑ Field
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:
There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway.
Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: MOKENA.
U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: MOKENA, 204. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: MOKENA, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Mokena, 1997 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: July 5, 2005 Other information:
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): Wetland/Waters of the U.S. #3 is a tributary to Marley Creek. Marley Creek drains into Hickory Creek which the discharges into the Des Plaines River, a "navigable waters of the U.S.". Wetland/Waters of the U.S. #3. Isolated Wetland #1 is adjacent to Wetland/Waters of the U.S. #3 and is therefore jurisdictional. Isolated Wetland #2 drains into Wetland/Waters of the U.S. #3 and is therefore jurisdictional.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial vegetation shelving other:
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:
☐ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7). ☐ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria. ☐ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria.
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries ☐ This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated ☐ This office does not confirm your wetland boundary
Recommended by:
Recommended by: -S - Date: Z1 Jul 05 Approved by: -S - Date: 25 Jcc 95

APPLICANT: Calpine Corporation	PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Newport Ditch/Des Plaines/Zion
FILE NUMBER: 200500960	PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office ☐ Field
action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information There are no waters on the p There are non-jurisdictional There are waters of the Unit There are both waters of the	project site. waters on the project site.
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
☐ The presence of waters which a interstate or foreign commerce, inclu of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate water ☐ The presence of a tributary to a The presence of wetlands adjact except for those wetlands adjact The presence of an isolated water	res of the United States present on the project site. re currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport ading all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters is (including interstate wetlands ¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) In interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) ent ² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, ent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) er (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, airie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds).
Information Reviewed	
U. S. Geological Survey H □ USDA Natural Resources (□ U. S. Geological Survey 7. □ U. S. Geological Survey 7. □ U. S. Geological Survey 1. □ Aerials (Name & Date):La	rvice National Wetland Inventory: ydrologic Atlas: Conservation Service Soil Survey for Lake County. 5 Minute Topographic Maps: ZION, 1993. 5 Minute Historic Quadrangles: 6 Minute Historic Quadrangles: ke County 1939, 2000 & 2004; PhotoMapper 2001, 2002 & 2004 Vetland Maps: LAKE COUNTY.
	es checked above): Wetland is tributary to Newport Ditch which flows to the Des nited States below Hoffman Dam. Therefore the wetland is also a water of the United tment of the Army.
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR	
Ordinary High Water clear, natural line impround the presence of litter and changes in the character wetland boundary	essed on the bank
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
Area under consideration is Area under consideration is	of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) ilikely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria te jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries	()///
☐ This office concurs with your w	per wetland boundary
Recommended by:	Date: 7/29/65
Approved by:	Date:
	he methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). From methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District APPLICANT: Geotech. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Located Northeast of the Intersection of S. Ingolsby and Mound Road in Shorewood, Will County, Illinois PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☐ Office ☐ Field **FILE NUMBER: 200500439** Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: CHANNAHON. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: CHANNAHON, 362. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: CHANNAHON, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date):Shorewood, 2002 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: June 28, 2005 Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no jurisdictional areas located on the subject property. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Date: 2 / Julos

Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987).

Recommended by:

Approved by:

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION DECISION DOCUMENT U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Intersection of 183rd Place and **APPLICANT:** Village of Tinley Park Crossing Drive in Tinley Park, Will County, Illinois PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ⊠ Office □Field FILE NUMBER: Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹), (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: TINLEY PARK. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: TINLEY PARK, 152. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: TINLEY PARK, 1993. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Tinley Park, 1993 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: ☐ Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): The wetland located on the subject property drains into Union Ditch. Union Ditch discharges into Hickory Creek when then flows into the Des Plaines River, a "navigable waters of the U.S.". Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soil other: wetland boundary Basis for Declining Jurisdiction: Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] **Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries** This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary Recommended by: ___ Approved by: ¹Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e., occurrence of hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soils and wetland hydrology). Processes for determining wetlands on agricultural lands may vary from methods described in the Corps Wetland Delineation Manual (1987). ² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also

adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Gladys M. Schnieder PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: Southeast of and Bruns Road in Green Garden Township, Will County, Illinois FILE NUMBER: 200500470 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Fi	
Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For Sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). A action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site.	
Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:	
 ☑ There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. ☐ The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be s interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) ☐ The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2) ☐ The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ☐ The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) ☐ The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including interstandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural potholer: ☐ Section 10 waterway. 	of the tide (i.e., navigable waters 2)) (8.3 (a)(5)) ate or other waters of the US, rmittent streams), mudflats,
Information Reviewed U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: FRANKFORT. U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: FRANKFORT, 231. USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Will County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Topographic Maps: FRANKFORT, 1990. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5-Minute Historic Quadrangles: U. S. Geological Survey 15-Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerials (Name & Date): Green Garden, 1993 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: Other information:	
Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no wetlands located on the subject property.	
Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank the presence of litter and debris changes in the character of soil wetland boundary destruction of terrestrial veget shelving other:	ation
Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:	
 ✓ Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or ✓ Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCO ✓ Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCO 	Migratory Bird Rule criteria
☐ Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of	of HQ rationale]
Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated, prepared by. This office does not confirm your wetland boundary	1
Recommended by: Date:	14 Jul 05
Recommended by:	yec co
Wetlands are identified and delineated using the methods and criteria established in the Corps Wetland Del	ineation Manual (87 Manual) (i.e.,

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Chicago District

APPLICANT: Par Development, Inc. PROJECT LOCATION/WATERWAY: 5-acre parcel located west of Randall Road and north of Bowes Road in Elgin, Kane County, Illinois (NW 1/4 of section 28, T41N R8E) FILE NUMBER: 200500430 PROJECT REVIEW COMPLETED: ☑ Office ☐ Field Approved Jurisdictional Determination (JD) (For sites regulated under 33 CFR 320-330). An approved JD is an appealable action. (33 CFR 331.2) Based on available information: There are no waters on the project site. There are non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. ☐ There are waters of the United States on the project site. There are both waters of the United States and non-jurisdictional waters on the project site. **Basis of Jurisdictional Determination:** There are no jurisdictional waters of the United States present on the project site. The presence of waters which are currently used, or were used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce, including all waters which are subject to the ebb and flow of the tide (i.e., navigable waters of the U.S.) (33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)) The presence of interstate waters (including interstate wetlands¹). (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(2)) The presence of a tributary to an interstate water or other water of the US. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(5)) The presence of wetlands adjacent² (bordering, contiguous, or neighboring) to interstate or other waters of the US, except for those wetlands adjacent to other wetlands. (33 CFR 328.3 (a)(7)) The presence of an isolated water (e.g., intrastate lakes, rivers, streams (including intermittent streams), mudflats, sandflats, wetlands, sloughs, prairie potholes, wet meadows, playa lakes, or natural ponds). Other: Section 10 waterway. Information Reviewed ☑ U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service National Wetland Inventory: ELGIN. ☑ U. S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: ELGIN, HA 147. ☑ USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey for Kane County. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Topographic Maps: ELGIN, 1992. U. S. Geological Survey 7.5 Minute Historic Quadrangles:
U. S. Geological Survey 15 Minute Historic Quadrangles: Aerial Photographs (Name & Date): Terraserver April 10, 2002 Advanced Identification Wetland Maps: Site Visit Conducted on: Other information: Rationale for Basis (applies to any boxes checked above): There are no waters of the United States on the subject property. Lateral Extent of Jurisdiction (33 CFR 328 and 329): Ordinary High Water Mark indicated by: clear, natural line impressed on the bank destruction of terrestrial vegetation the presence of litter and debris shelving changes in the character of soils wetland boundary **Basis for Declining Jurisdiction:** Unable to confirm the presence of waters listed in 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1), 328.3(a)(2), or 328.3(a)(4) through 328.3(a)(7) Area under consideration is likely to have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Area under consideration is not likely have been jurisdictional under pre-SWANCC Migratory Bird Rule criteria Headquarters declined to approve jurisdiction on the basis of 328.3(a)(3) [attach copy of HQ rationale] Confirmation of Wetland Boundaries This office concurs with your wetland delineation report dated , prepared by This office does not confirm your wetland boundary. Recommended by: Approved by:

² Wetlands separated from other waters of the U.S. by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes, and the like are also adjacent.